	Application No. Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/396,244
	Examiner Cheukfan Coe 2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE TURBE (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defau	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . tute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status /	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9-15	-99.
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
(DClaim(s)) $11-48$	is/are allowed
Us Chamila)	10/4/0 4/10/10/4
Claim(s) and 5-10	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) 1 and 5-10 Claim(s) 2-4	is/are rejected.
Claim(s) $1/-48$ Claim(s) 1 and $5-10$ Claim(s) $2-4$ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948.
 □ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on 	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 is □ approved □ disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. Ing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. Instantial approveddisapproved. Instantial approveddisa
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. Ing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). In the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. Ing Review, PTO-948. isapproveddisapproved. Index 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). In the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been the priority documents have been a second of the priority documents have been
☐ Claim(s) Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) eternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s) Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the Interpretation of the Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement. ng Review, PTO-948 isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been ber) eternational Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.__

Application/Control Number: 09/396,244

Art Unit: 2622

j.

- 1. Claims 1-48 are pending. Claims 1, 9-11, 17, 21-25, 34-37, and 45-48 are independent.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stavely et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,969,372).

Regarding claims 1, 8 and 9, Stavely et al. discloses an image scanning apparatus comprising all limitations claim. A light source (400 in Fig. 4C), which is a white light source, emits visible light and invisible light (infrared light IR) onto a film (col. 6, lines 52-64, exemplary embodiment shown in Fig. 4C). Fig. 4C is the figure relied upon in this rejection, the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/396,244

Art Unit: 2622

characteristics of the white light source (400), including emission of both visible and infrared light, however, is explained in several sections other than the section for Fig. 4C (col. 6, lines 43-44, line 28, col. 5, lines 3-5, col. 8, lines 62-65). In each of several exemplary embodiments in which the film is scanned in reciprocal motion, the film is scanned twice (Scans A and B), once with IR light to provide an image of the surface defects such as dust or scratch) and once called normal scan with the direct white light to produce the image to be corrected using the data obtained from the IR scan (col. 4, lines 15-30, col. 2, lines 43-44, col. 5, lines 5-8). "The order of Scan A and Scan B is not import." This inherently means that the film is first scanned with IR and then scanned with direct white light for the image to be corrected using the data from the first scan.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the scanning with IR is to detect abnormality such as dust or scratches on the film (col. 4, line 22, Abstract).

Regarding claim 7, the image data obtained in the normal scan is corrected by image processing means using the data obtained during the IR scan (col. 4, lines 15-25).

Regarding claim 10, the method of Stavely et al. is performed in a computer-controlled environment (col. 5, lines 6-13, for example).

4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/396,244

Art Unit: 2622

- 5. Claims 11-48 are allowed.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheukfan Lee at telephone number (703) 305-4867.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(703) 308-5397 (for informal or draft communications, such as proposed

amendments

to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two 2121 Crystal Drive Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

C. L. March 12, 2003 Chenk fairles